

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/009,369	CROWELL, JAMES H.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	M. Safavi	3673	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) M. Safavi.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Pierre Van Rysselberghe.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 February 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



**MICHAEL SAFAVI  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 3673**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Van Rysselberghe had agreed to an examiner's amendment effectively adding language to the brief description of Fig. 17 which change had been proposed in the after final amendment of 1/16/07. The examiner's amendment is being made since the proposed change to the specification presented within the after final amendment was not in full compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 in that Applicant did not intend for the added language to be in bold print. Therefore, the after final amendment will not be entered and any and all appropriate changes serving to place the instant application in condition for allowance is being made via the preset Examiner's Amendment including cancellation of non-elected claims 64-68.